

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES; DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent: and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington; D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/643,550	08/22/2000	Shanna D. Knights	12547US02	9685	
75	590 11/21/2002				
Robert W Fieseler			EXAMINER		
McAndrews Held & Malloy Ltd 500 West Madison Street			WILLS, MONIQUE M		
34th Floor Chicago, IL 60	0661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ogo, 12			1745	12	
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/643,550	KNIGHTS ET AL	
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Wills M Monique	1745	
The MAILING DATE f this c mmunicati	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the c rrespondenc address	
E REPLY FILED 10/15/02 FAILS TO PLACE refore, further action by the applicant is required rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eladition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of amination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	red to avoid abandonment of this ither: (1) a timely filed amendme f Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application i	n
<u>PERIOD I</u>	FOR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
The period for reply expires 3 months from the materials			
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing dat no event, however, will the statutory period for rep ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST RE 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received bely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ly expire later than SIX MONTHS from the PLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS. 6(a). The date on which the petition under the period of extension and the corresport of the shortened statutory period by the Office later than three months after the period of the shortened statutory period by the Office later than three months after the period of the shortened statutory period by the Office later than three months after the period of the p	he mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See Note: HER 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ding amount of the fee. The appropriate for reply originally set in the final Office	MPEP e extension e extension action; or
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Application Appl	pellant's Brief must be filed withi (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid disr	n the period set forth in nissal of the appeal.	
☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be en	itered because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would requi	re further consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see	e Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the appliance issues for appeal; and/or	ication in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplify	ing the
(d) they present additional claims without	canceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
Applicant's reply has overcome the followin	g rejection(s):		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	_ would be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed ame	ndment
∑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ req application in condition for allowance beca		en considered but does NOT pla	ce the
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be conside raised by the Examiner in the final rejectio		DLELY to issues which were nev	vly
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed ame explanation of how the new or amended contains a second conta	endment(s) a)⊡ will not be ente laims would be rejected is provid	red or b)⊡ will be entered and a ded below or appended.	ın
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as	follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)☐ approved or b)☐		
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure S	Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

7

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant is correct that claim 7 had not been previously rejected in view of '721, however when incorporated into claim 1, the limitations were met by the teachings set forth in the rejection. More specifically,the rejection explains that the the catalysts includes mixtures of two or more catalysts, and that the catalyst can be selected from platinum and ruthenium mixtures..

Many to the second of the seco